∞JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declert sheet. (SEE DISTRICTIONS ON THE REPORT OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE C	F THE FORM.)	oopvoov. 157 /, 152 oq.			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
DONALD PITCHERS			NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff		County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone Number	and Email Address)	NOTE: IN LAND	O CONDEMNATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE	
Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888				NVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One l	3ox Only) III. C		RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
J 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Page 1)	arty) Citiz	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT ten of This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Pa		ten of Another State	2		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ten or Subject of a preign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	1 6 1 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	l vin	ODDETTIDE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Coutract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY PE □ 310 Airplane □ 36 □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 36 □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 36 □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 37 □ 340 Marine PER: □ 345 Marine Product □ 37 Liability □ 38 □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 38 □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 38 □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 38 □ 441 Voting □ 51 □ 442 Employment □ 51 □ 443 Housing/ Has Accommodations □ 53 □ 444 Welfare □ 53 □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 54	CRSONAL INJURY	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 50 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other **EABOR** 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act **EMMIGRATION** 52 Naturalization Application 53 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 55 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUIS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations 24 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Stanuory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN [Place an "X" in One Box Only) 2 Removed from Appellate Court 2 Removed from Appellate Court Circ the M.S. Circl State Court [Circ the M.S. Circ State Court [Circ th						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cire the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 15 U.S.C SECTION 1692 Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices Act						
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No						
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): HERSE						
Explanation:	(See instructions): JUDG	E		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD						
06/19/2012			/			

Case 2:12-cv-03468-CMR Document 1 Filed 06/19/12 Page 2 of 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose assignment to appropriate calendar.						
Address of Plaintiff: 6350 West I fon Rd., Bloomington, IN 47403						
Address of Defendant: 507 PWdential Rd., Horsham PA 19044 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:						
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?					
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	Yes No					
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Date Terminated:					
Case Number: Judge	Date 1 erminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this court?					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	Yes No A					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated					
	Yes D No 💢					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	$=$ ΔV					
terminated action in this court?	Yes No No					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigi	hts case filed by the same individual?					
	Yes No					
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)						
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts					
2. □ FELA	2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury					
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation					
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury					
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury					
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please					
	specify)					
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability					
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos					
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases					
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)					
11. All other Federal Question Cases 15 V.S.C. § 1602						
ARBITRATION CER	FIFICATION					
I. CMIGTON KIMPO, counsel of record, do hereby cert						
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and						
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;						
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.						
DATE: 06 19 12	<u> 57100</u>					
Attorney-at-Ilaw	Attorney I.D.#					
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial of jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending of	r within one year previously terminated action in this court					
except as noted above.						
DATE: 06 19 12	57100					
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#					
CIV. 609 (6/08)						

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

DONALD PITCHERS		:	CIVIL ACTION	
v.		: : :	4	
NCO FINANCIAL SYS	TEMS, INC.,	:	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and s side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	Case Managemen erve a copy on all one event that a dent shall, with its fires, a Case Manage	t Track Ded defendants. fendant doost appearand ment Track	lay Reduction Plan of this court, cousignation Form in all civil cases at the (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the es not agree with the plaintiff regardice, submit to the clerk of court and serv Designation Form specifying the track to	time of reverse ing said te on the
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING	CASE MAI	NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Case	es brought under 2	8 U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Case and Human Services of			sion of the Secretary of Health ity Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases re	quired to be design	nated for ar	bitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	olving claims for p	ersonal inju	rry or property damage from	()
commonly referred to	as complex and th	at need spe	acks (a) through (d) that are cial or intense management by	
management cases.)	e side of this form	for a detail	ed explanation of special	()
(f) Standard Managemen	t – Cases that do n	ot fall into	any one of the other tracks.	()
<u>06/19/2012</u>	Craig Thor Ki Attorney-at-la		Plaintiff, Donald Pitchers Attorney for	
215-540-8888 Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number		<u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u> E-Mail Address	

2:

2 (

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA					
DONALD PITCHERS,)				
Plaintiff)) Case No.:)				
v.					
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR) JURY TRIAL				
Defendant) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)				
COMPLAINT					
DONALD PITCHERS ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL &					
SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.					
("Defendant"):					
INTRODUCTION					
1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15					
U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").					
JURISDICTION AND VENUE					
2. Jurisdiction of this court arises	2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states				
that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court					
without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original					
jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.					
3. Defendant conducts business and has its principal office located in the					
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.					
4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28	4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).				

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Bloomington, Indiana 47403 at the time of the alleged harassment.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 11. The alleged debt at issue, an American Express credit card account, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Throughout the month of May 2012, Defendant repeatedly and continuously contacted Plaintiff on his home, cellular, and work telephones in its attempts to collect a debt.
- 13. Plaintiff regularly received collection calls from the following telephone numbers: (800) 350-2457 and (866) 576-1447, which the undersigned has confirmed are telephone numbers belonging to Defendant.
- 14. During the relevant period, Defendant continuously and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on a daily basis, sometimes calling him as frequently as two (2) to five (5) times a day.

- 15. On numerous occasions, Plaintiff answered Defendants calls and instructed Defendant to stop calling him.
- 16. On one occasion, Defendant's collector claimed to Plaintiff that he "had no choice but to talk to [her]."
 - 17. Defendant continued to call Plaintiff in its attempts to collect a debt.
- 18. Most recently, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on May 19, 2012, at 9:30 a.m., 1:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m.; on May 20, 2012, at 3:00 p.m.; and May 23, 2012, at 9:30 a.m. and 12:30 p.m.
- 19. In those instances when Defendant called Plaintiff's work telephone, Plaintiff informed Defendant that it was calling a business line, that he did not want to receive calls on this number, and to stop calling his work telephone.
 - 20. It was inconvenient for Plaintiff to receive collection calls on his work telephone.
- 21. Defendant disregarded Plaintiff's instructions and continued to contact him on his work telephone.
- 22. Most recently, on May 23, 2012, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on his work telephone at 12:30 p.m.
- 23. In addition to the quantity of telephone calls, Defendant also left Plaintiff voicemail messages on his home telephone.
- 24. Lastly, within five (5) days of its initial communication with Plaintiff, Defendant failed to send Plaintiff written notification of his rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification as well as informing his of the amount of the debt and the name of the creditor.
 - 25. To date, Defendant has not sent any written correspondence to Plaintiff.

- 26. As a result, Plaintiff has no knowledge of the amount of the debt Defendant was seeking to collect from him.
- 27. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect this debt were harassing and abusive to Plaintiff.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT COUNT I

- 28. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692c(a)(1) and 1692c(a)(3).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by communicating with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt at any unusual time or place or a time or place known or which should be known to be inconvenient to the consumer. In absence of knowledge of circumstances to the contrary, a debt collector shall assume that the convenient time for communicating with a consumer is after 8:00 a.m. and before 9:00 p.m., local time at the consumer's location.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692c(a)(3) of the FDCPA by communicating with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt at the consumer's place of employment if the debt collector knows or has reason to know that the consumer's employer prohibits the consumer from receiving such communication.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692c(a)(1) and 1692c(a)(3) of the FDCPA when it contacted Plaintiff on his work telephone, knowing that it was

inconvenient for him to receive collection calls on his work telephone and that he did not want to be called on his work telephone.

COUNT II

- 29. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. A debt collector violates § 1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff multiple times a day and on numerous days a week on his home, cellular, and work telephones, in addition to leaving multiple voicemail messages on Plaintiff's home telephone.

COUNT III

- 29. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send to the consumer, within five days after its initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of a debt, a written notice containing: (1)

the amount of the debt; (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed: (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector; (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

Here, Defendant violated §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send b. written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of her rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt or providing her with the name of the original creditor and the amount of the debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DONALD PITCHERS, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and

d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, DONALD PITCHERS, demands a jury trial in

this case.

DATED:

5

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL SILVERMAN, P.C.

By:

Craig Ther Kimmel Attorney ID #57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 116

Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com